

Cambridge IGCSE™

GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES Paper 1 Written Examination MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 70 Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2023 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

This document consists of 21 printed pages.

© UCLES 2023 [Turn over

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit
 is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme,
 referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these
 features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The
 meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

© UCLES 2023 Page 2 of 21

Social Science-Specific Marking Principles (for point-based marking)

1 Components using point-based marking:

Point marking is often used to reward knowledge, understanding and application of skills.
 We give credit where the candidate's answer shows relevant knowledge, understanding and application of skills in answering the question. We do not give credit where the answer shows confusion.

From this it follows that we:

- **a** DO credit answers which are worded differently from the mark scheme if they clearly convey the same meaning (unless the mark scheme requires a specific term).
- **b** DO credit alternative answers/examples which are not written in the mark scheme if they are correct.
- **c** DO credit answers where candidates give more than one correct answer in one prompt/numbered/scaffolded space where extended writing is required rather than list-type answers. For example, questions that require *n* reasons (e.g. State two reasons ...).
- **d** DO NOT credit answers simply for using a 'key term' unless that is all that is required. (Check for evidence it is understood and not used wrongly.)
- **e** DO NOT credit answers which are obviously self-contradicting or trying to cover all possibilities.
- **f** DO NOT give further credit for what is effectively repetition of a correct point already credited unless the language itself is being tested. This applies equally to 'mirror statements' (i.e. polluted/not polluted).
- **g** DO NOT require spellings to be correct, unless this is part of the test. However, spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. Corrasion/Corrosion).

2 Presentation of mark scheme:

- Slashes (/) or the word 'or' separate alternative ways of making the same point.
- Semi colons (;) bullet points (•) or figures in brackets (1) separate different points.
- Content in the answer column in brackets is for examiner information/context to clarify the marking but is not required to earn the mark (except Accounting syllabuses where they indicate negative numbers).

3 Calculation questions:

- The mark scheme will show the steps in the most likely correct method(s), the mark for each step, the correct answer(s) and the mark for each answer.
- If working/explanation is considered essential for full credit, this will be indicated in the question paper and in the mark scheme. In all other instances, the correct answer to a calculation should be given full credit, even if no supporting working is shown.
- Where the candidate uses a valid method which is not covered by the mark scheme, award equivalent marks for reaching equivalent stages.
- Where an answer makes use of a candidate's own incorrect figure from previous working, the 'own figure rule' applies: full marks will be given if a correct and complete method is used. Further guidance will be included in the mark scheme where necessary and any exceptions to this general principle will be noted.

© UCLES 2023 Page 3 of 21

4 Annotation:

- For point marking, ticks can be used to indicate correct answers and crosses can be used to indicate wrong answers. There is no direct relationship between ticks and marks. Ticks have no defined meaning for levels of response marking.
- For levels of response marking, the level awarded should be annotated on the script.
- Other annotations will be used by examiners as agreed during standardisation, and the meaning will be understood by all examiners who marked that paper.

© UCLES 2023 Page 4 of 21

Introduction

Most questions are marked holistically using levels of response mark schemes. The marks awarded for an answer are usually based on a judgement of the overall quality of the response, rather than on awarding marks for specific points and accumulating a total mark by adding points.

Inevitably, the mark scheme cannot cover all responses that candidates may make for all of the questions. In some cases, candidates may make very strong responses which the mark scheme has not predicted. These answers should nevertheless be credited according to their quality.

Levels of response

For answers marked by levels of response, the following is intended to describe the quality of the response required (level of skill that should be demonstrated) for the award of marks at different points in the mark range for the question.

In the levels of response mark scheme positive achievement is being rewarded. For answers marked by levels of response:

- a. Marking grids describe the top of each level.
- b. **To determine the level** start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer.
- c. To determine the mark within the level, consider the following:

Descriptor	Award mark
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level

Mark scheme

All of the questions are based on sources which are available to candidates as an Insert to the examination paper. It is therefore very important to study this material prior to marking to become familiar with the context of the questions.

© UCLES 2023 Page 5 of 21

Annotations

All scripts and all responses must be annotated to show how and where marks have been awarded. The practice scripts indicate the amount of annotation expected.

The number of ticks used does not need to tally with the mark achieved. **Every question must be annotated in some way**. The mark scheme indicates the most likely annotation to be used with each question.

Annotation should be within the candidate's text or the right-hand margin of the response as it appears in the marking screen.

For scripts marked on RM Assessor, a selection of the following on-screen annotations are available.

Annotation	Meaning
✓	Correct, creditworthy point
Eval	Evaluation
DEV	Development
BOD	Benefit of doubt given
×	Incorrect point
?	Unclear/confused point
JU	Justification
^	Omission mark, more required
I	Interpretation
Vertical wavy line	Irrelevant
<u> </u>	Highlighter
REP	Repetition
\Box	Comment Box
NAQ	Not Answered Question
SEEN	Confirms page or response seen by examiner

© UCLES 2023 Page 6 of 21

IMPORTANT NOTE ON DEVELOPED AND UNDEVELOPED POINTS

A developed point is one which is explained, or supported with evidence, usually drawn from the sources or the candidate's experience. For example:

Example 1

- 1a. Undeveloped point A strength of Uki's argument is the use of evidence from the World Bank
- 1b. Developed point A strength of Uki's argument is the use of evidence from the World Bank which comes from a credible source and is likely to be accurate. It can be trusted.
- 1c. Undeveloped point A weakness of the argument is the use of personal testimony from experience.
- 1d. Developed point A weakness of the argument is the use of personal testimony from experience. This experience may not apply to many other people and could be biased. It is not strong evidence.

Example 2

- 2a. Undeveloped point promoting lifestyle changes is not a good idea because it takes too long.
- 2b. Developed point promoting lifestyle changes is not a good idea; it takes too long because some people may ignore the advice given by governments and not bother to change their behaviour.
- 2c. Developed point encouraging governments to work together is the best solution as governments can share ideas and resources. This is supported in Source 4 when Uki says that cooperation between countries will prevent human suffering and reduce conflict.

© UCLES 2023 Page 7 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
1(a)	From Source 1, identify a country where a high proportion of the population live in single-person households.	1
	Main Annotations 🗸 🗶 🔼	
	Candidates should identify one of the following countries from Source 1:	
	NorwaySweden	
	1 mark should be awarded for identifying the above.	
	Further guidance – the only acceptable answer is listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.	
1(b)	From Source 2, identify <u>two</u> reasons for the growth in single-person households.	2
	Main Annotations XX	
	Candidates may identify the following reasons from Source 2:	
	increased access to higher education and university	
	 living alone is less expensive with cheaper housing in cities people choosing to delay marriage and having children 	
	economic development and increasing wealth	
	greater gender equality and female employment	
	 improved healthcare and people living longer more freedom in personal relationships and lifestyles. 	
	1 mark should be awarded for each correctly identified reason to a maximum of 2 marks.	
	Further guidance – the only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 8 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
1(c)	Which reason for the growth in single person households do you think is the most significant? Explain why.	3
	Main Annotations	
	Indicative content	
	Candidates may identify one of the following reasons:	
	 increased access to higher education and university living alone is less expensive with cheaper housing in cities people choosing to delay marriage and having children economic development and increasing wealth greater gender equality and female employment improved healthcare and people living longer more freedom in personal relationships and lifestyles. 	
	Candidates may give the following explanations, any of which could be used, to justify their choice:	
	 has greatest impact locally, nationally or globally affects most people affects greatest number of countries culturally acceptable strongest social movement of recent years widespread publicity affecting social norms other reasonable response. 	
	Further guidance – candidates are most likely to discuss consequences from Source 2 as listed above. However, the assessment is focused mainly upon their reasoning / justification and therefore additional benefits should be credited.	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:	
	Level 3 (3 marks) Good response Clearly reasoned explanation explicitly linked to a reason.	
	Level 2 (2 marks) Reasonable response Some explanation. The link between the explanation and a reason may be implicit / unclear at times.	
	Level 1 (1 mark) Limited response A reason is identified. There may be some limited explanation.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 9 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
1(d)	Explain why the growth of single-person households is an important national issue.	6
	Main Annotations Total	
	Indicative content	
	Candidates are likely to identify the following reasons:	
	 affects many people across the whole country affects the traditional pattern of life and culture affects government planning and patterns of expenditure need for more housing nationally impacts economic development of the nation promotes gender equality enables access to further and higher education that government must provide other reasonable response. The following levels of response should be used to award marks: Level 3 (5–6 marks) Good response 	
	Clearly reasoned, credible and structured explanation; usually two (or more) developed arguments clearly linked to the issue; or three (or more) undeveloped reasons.	
	The national dimension is consistently explicit.	
	Level 2 (3–4 marks) Reasonable response Some reasoned explanation: usually one (or more) developed argument(s) with some link to the issue but may be implicit at times; or two (or more) undeveloped reasons.	
	The national dimension is implicit at times.	
	Level 1 (1–2 marks) Limited response Limited reasoning and explanation; the response is likely to contain only simple, undeveloped and asserted points. Arguments are partial, generalised and lack clarity.	
	The national dimension is implicit or not apparent.	
	Answers at this level may repeat source material with little understanding.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 10 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)	'The number of single-person households is growing.'	6
	What are the strengths and weaknesses of the argument supporting this claim?	
	Main Annotations	
	Indicative content	
	Candidates are likely to discuss the following evaluative points relating to Source 3:	
	Strengths:	
	based on sociological expertise provides detailed information	
	provides detailed informationrange of evidence	
	based on research evidence	
	statistical evidence offered	
	the evidence is reliable clearly argued with structure	
	clearly argued with structureacademic language and tone	
	any other reasonable response.	
	Weaknesses:	
	author unknown as not fully cited/referenced	
	expertise of author not knownsome assertion	
	not relevant to all countries and situations	
	few examples	
	not much evidence	
	 little personal testimony or qualitative evidence check any other reasonable response. 	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:	
	Level 3 (5–6 marks) Good response Clearly reasoned, credible and structured evaluation. Two (or more)	
	developed points clearly linked to the issue, with some other undeveloped points; or a wide range (four or more) of undeveloped points.	
	Evaluation is clearly focused on the evidence and arguments, their strengths and/or weaknesses and the way they are used to support the claim.	
	There is usually some clear reference to the evidence and arguments in the source e.g. quotation/summary.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 11 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)	Level 2 (3–4 marks) Reasonable response Reasonable evaluation mainly focused on the evidence and arguments, their strengths and/or weaknesses, and the way they are used to support the claim; there may be some lack of clarity and the answer may be difficult to follow at times.	
	The response may contain one (or more) developed point(s), with some other undeveloped points. Some (two or more) undeveloped points may be sufficient to reach this level.	
	Level 1 (1–2 marks) Limited response Limited evaluation which is often unsupported and asserted. The response is often unclear, tangential and generalised. It contains one or two undeveloped points only.	
	Answers at this level may repeat source material with little understanding.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	
	Further guidance – if the claim is implicit then the response is unlikely to be awarded above Level 2.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 12 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	'More people are choosing not to have children than in the past.'	8
	How could you test this claim? You should consider the types of information, sources of evidence and methods you might use.	
	You should consider the types of information, sources of evidence and methods you might use.	
	Main Annotations	
	Indicative content	
	Candidates are likely to discuss the following ways to test the claim stated in Source 3:	
	Possible types of information: compare statistics/information on family life and households from past to today data from local authority or government/census individual testimony or personal experience material from the internet other relevant response.	
	Possible sources of information: national and local governments and their departments experts in the family research reports media and the internet UN/World Bank etc. other relevant response.	
	Possible methods: review of secondary sources/literature/research/documents interview relevant agencies and experts internet search questionnaires surveys other relevant response.	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:	
	Level 4 (7–8 marks) Very good response Clearly reasoned, credible and structured explanation of a range of ways to test the claim. The response contains three (or more) developed points and may contain some undeveloped points.	
	The response is clearly and explicitly related to testing the claim.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 13 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	Level 3 (5–6 marks) Good response Reasoned and mainly credible explanation of ways to test the claim. The response contains two (or more) developed points and may contain some undeveloped points.	
	The response is explicitly related to testing the claim.	
	Level 2 (3–4 marks) Reasonable response Some reasoning and explanation of ways to test the claim. The response contains one (or more) developed point(s), and/or a few undeveloped points. There may be some lack of clarity and the answer may be difficult to follow at times.	
	The response is related to testing the claim.	
	Level 1 (1–2 marks) Limited response Limited explanation of ways to test the claim. The response contains one or two simple, undeveloped and asserted points.	
	There is little relevance in the response to testing the claim or the methods, sources and types of information are generally not appropriate for the claim being tested.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	
	Further Guidance- If the response lists or describes methods, sources and types of data without linking to the issue/context, then it should not be placed above Level 2.	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(a)	Identify <u>one</u> value judgement from Arthit's statement. Explain why it is a value judgement. Main Annotations	2
	A value judgement is a view or decision about what is right, wrong or important, based a particular set of standards, principles, or values. Candidates may identify one of the following value judgements from Arthit's statement: It is not right to expect the government to look after you. She thinks families should care for each other (grandmother).	
	Award 1 mark for identifying a value judgement.	
	Award 1 mark for a response that demonstrates a clear understanding of a value judgement. [This mark may be awarded even if the value judgement is incorrect.]	
	Further guidance – the only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 14 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
3(b)(i)	Malee argues that living alone has many benefits.	1
	Identify one fact from Malee's statement.	
	Main Annotations **	
	A fact is a statement that is true, correct, accurate or can be proven/verified.	
	Candidates may identify one of the following facts from Malee's statement:	
	 Malee enjoys living on her own Malee wants to live on her own Malee wants freedom to choose her lifestyle Malee is buying an affordable apartment designed for single people Malee's friend Anna has chosen to be single to pursue her career and get promotion Malee's flat in the city is surrounded by other people and there is a gym and club in her block research studies by psychologists in 2019 in the United States show that living alone does not cause loneliness you can speak to your family on social media. Malee's friend thinks that long hours and dedication to work make marriage difficult other reasonable response. 	
	1 mark should be awarded for identifying one of the above. Further guidance – the only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 15 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
3(b)(ii)	Explain how well this fact supports Malee's argument.	2
	Main Annotations XX TO BOD	
	Examples of the points that could be made when explaining how well the fact supports the argument:	
	 Very good support as the fact is based on evidence from research or experience that gives confidence to the reader about the claim. Some support as the fact is relevant to the argument but the fact alone is not much evidence and so may not be relied upon or be sufficient to prove the case. Limited support as the fact is not relevant and the research is not cited. 	
	Use the following levels of response to award a maximum of two marks:	
	Level 2 (2 marks) Good response An example of a fact is identified correctly in Q3(b)(i). Some explanation of the relationship of the fact to the argument. Some evaluation of the use of the fact in the argument.	
	Level 1 (1 marks) Reasonable response An example of a fact is identified correctly in Q3(b)(i). Some explanation of the relationship of the fact to the argument.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	
	Further guidance – Q3(b)(i) must be answered correctly to be awarded marks for this question. It is expected that responses will be very brief and not developed.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 16 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	Which argument is more convincing, Arthit's or Malee's?	15
	Your answer should consider both arguments, and you should support your point of view with their words.	
	You should also consider: the strength of their reasoning and evidence their use of language the different types of information used.	
	Main Annotations	
	Indicative content	
	Candidates are expected to evaluate the arguments presented in Source 4 and compare their effectiveness. They should make a supported judgement with some explanation about which person has the most convincing argument.	
	Candidates may support their judgement by considering:	
	Strength of reasoning: I logic structure balance claims	
	 Use of language: tone – emotive, exaggerated, precise clarity 	
	Evidence: range of information and depth relevance sufficiency – sample source – media; internet date – how recent different types of information – fact, opinion, value, anecdote testimony – from experience and expert	
	Sources of bias I local interest conomic personal values experience	

© UCLES 2023 Page 17 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	Likely consequences of the ideas presented	
	Acceptability of their values to others: how likely other people are to agree with their perspective/view	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:	
	Level 5 (13–15 marks) Very good response Clear, credible and well-supported points/explanation about which argument is more convincing. Coherent, structured evaluation of both arguments with clear comparison.	
	The response usually contains three (or more) developed evaluative points and may include some undeveloped points. Material from the sources is used as evidence to support the evaluation; clear reference to the evidence and/or arguments in the source e.g. quotation/summary of ideas.	
	A clear judgement is reached.	
	Level 4 (10–12 marks) Good response Clear, supported points/explanation about which argument is more convincing. Evaluation of both arguments, with comparison.	
	The response usually contains two (or more) developed evaluative points and may include some undeveloped points. A wide range (four or more) of undeveloped but clearly appropriate points may be sufficient to enter this band at the lower level.	
	Material from the sources is used as evidence to support the evaluation; some reference to the evidence and/or arguments in the source e.g. quotation/summary of ideas.	
	A judgment is reached.	
	Level 3 (7–9 marks) Reasonable response Reasonable points/explanation about which argument is more convincing. Some evaluation of both arguments, with an attempt at comparison or very good evaluation of only one argument. Some judgements and evaluative points are partially supported or asserted.	
	Usually one (or more) developed evaluative points, possibly with some undeveloped points; three (or more) undeveloped points may be sufficient to enter this band at the lower level.	
	Some material from the sources may be used as evidence to support the evaluation. The response may occasionally lack some clarity and be difficult to follow.	
	An attempt is made to give an overall judgement.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 18 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	Level 2 (4–6) Basic response Basic points about which argument is more convincing. There may be only one argument considered in any detail, with little attempt at comparison. Judgements and evaluative points are partially supported and lack clarity/relevance at times.	
	The response usually contains two (or more) undeveloped points.	
	A basic judgement may be reached.	
	Level 1 (1–3 marks) Limited response Limited and unsupported points about which argument is more convincing. The response considers the arguments briefly and/or tangentially. There is little clarity. Answers at this level may repeat source material with little understanding or simply agree/disagree with the arguments presented.	
	The response may not contain any clear evaluative points.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	
	Further Guidance- responses that give a very good evaluation of only one argument may achieve Level 3 but no higher.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 19 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
4	'Living on your own is better than living within a family.'	24
	Do you agree with this statement?	
	In your answer, you should: state your opinion give reasons and evidence to support your opinion use the material in the sources and/or any of your own ideas consider different arguments and perspectives.	
	Main Annotations J	
	Indicative content	
	Candidates are expected to make a judgement about the statement using reasons and evidence to justify their opinion.	
	Candidates may use and develop the material found in Sources 1 to 4 but should go beyond simply repeating or recycling without adaptation/interpretation. Other material may be introduced but is not necessary to gain full marks.	
	Candidates may consider some of the following:	
	 reference to impact of living alone on relationships generally importance of personal choice in lifestyle health implications employment and career implications ethical or moral issues reference to different consequences and implications for individuals/different age groups/government the influence of individuals and groups on other people potential conflicts of interest within the wider family greater vulnerability to crime and harm other reasonable response. 	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:	
	Level 5 (20–24 marks) Very good response Clear, well-supported and credible reasoning about the statement. Different arguments and perspectives are clearly considered.	
	The response usually contains a wide range of clearly reasoned points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with four (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points.	
	The response is very well-structured and a clear judgement is reached.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 20 of 21

Question	Answer	Marks
4	Level 4 (15–19 marks) Good response Clear, supported and credible reasoning about the statement. Different arguments and perspectives are considered.	
	The response usually contains a range of reasoned points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with three (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points.	
	The response is generally well-structured and a judgement is reached.	
	Level 3 (10–14 marks) Reasonable response Some supported reasoning about the statement. Different arguments and perspectives are included.	
	The response usually contains some points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with two (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points.	
	The response is structured but at times difficult to follow. Some attempt is made to give an overall judgement.	
	Level 2 (5–9 marks) Basic response Basic reasoning about the statement. Different arguments are included; perspectives, if present, are unclear.	
	The response relies on assertion rather than evidence but usually contains one (or more) developed point(s) or a range of undeveloped points.	
	The response lacks structure and is difficult to follow. A basic judgement may be attempted.	
	Level 1 (1–4 marks) Limited response Limited and unsupported reasoning about the topic in general. Different arguments may be included.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 21 of 21